

International **Comparative** Legal Guides



Litigation & Dispute Resolution **2020**

A practical cross-border insight into litigation and dispute resolution work

13th Edition

Featuring contributions from:

A&L Goodbody
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP
Arendt & Medernach
Bär & Karrer Ltd.
Barros & Errázuriz Abogados
Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP
Borenus Attorneys Ltd
Candia Advocates and Legal Consultants
Clayton Utz
Covington & Burling LLP
Debarliev, Dameski & Kelesoska Attorneys at Law
Delphi
Eric Silwamba, Jalasi and Linyama Legal Practitioners
ESENVEL & PARTNERS LAWYERS AND CONSULTANTS

Florent
GrayRobinson, P.A.
Hamdan AlShamsi Lawyers & Legal Consultants
Kovalev Tugushi & Partners
Laude Esquier Champey
Lennox Paton
Linklaters LLP
Miller & Chevalier Chartered
Miranda & Amado Abogados
Monereo Meyer Abogados
Müggenburg, Gorchies y Peñalosa, S.C.
N. Pirilides & Associates LLC
Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu
Oblin Attorneys at Law
Oon & Bazul LLP

Pinheiro Neto Advogados
Portolano Cavallo
Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP
Poul Schmith
Rahmat Lim & Partners
Rui Bai Law Firm
Sabeti & Khatami
SANDIVA Legal Network
Sárhegyi & Partners Law Firm
SCHWARZ advokáti s.r.o.
Sorainen
SyCip Salazar Hernandez & Gatmaitan
V. D. Ikonomidis & Associates Law Firm
Wilhelm & Büchel Rechtsanwälte



ICLG.com



ISBN 978-1-83918-024-8
ISSN 1755-1889

Published by

glg global legal group

59 Tanner Street

London SE1 3PL

United Kingdom

+44 207 367 0720

info@glgroup.co.uk

www.iclg.com

Group Publisher

Rory Smith

Publisher

Jon Martin

Senior Editors

Suzie Levy

Rachel Williams

Editor

Amy Norton

Creative Director

Fraser Allan

Printed by

Ashford Colour Press Ltd.

Cover image

www.istockphoto.com

Strategic Partners



International **Comparative** Legal Guides

Litigation & Dispute Resolution **2020**

13th Edition

Contributing Editor:

Greg Lascelles

Covington & Burling LLP

©2020 Global Legal Group Limited.

All rights reserved. Unauthorised reproduction by any means, digital or analogue, in whole or in part, is strictly forbidden.

Disclaimer

This publication is for general information purposes only. It does not purport to provide comprehensive full legal or other advice. Global Legal Group Ltd. and the contributors accept no responsibility for losses that may arise from reliance upon information contained in this publication.

This publication is intended to give an indication of legal issues upon which you may need advice. Full legal advice should be taken from a qualified professional when dealing with specific situations.

Expert Chapter

1

The Responsibility of Companies for the Actions of their Directors and Employees in English Law
Greg Lascelles & Alan Kenny, Covington & Burling LLP

Q&A Chapters

6

Australia
Clayton Utz: Colin Loveday & Scott Grahame

15

Austria
Oblin Attorneys at Law: Dr. Klaus Oblin

22

Brazil
Pinheiro Neto Advogados: Renato Stephan Grion & Thiago Del Pozzo Zanelato

31

British Virgin Islands
Lennox Paton: Scott Cruickshank, Phillip Baldwin & Jonathan Child

46

Canada
Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP: Erin Hoult & Josianne Rocca

55

Chile
Barros & Errázuriz Abogados: Luis Eduardo Toro Bossay, José Luis Corvalán Pérez & Arturo Fontecilla Montt

61

China
Rui Bai Law Firm: Wen Qin & Lei Yang

68

Cyprus
N. Piriilides & Associates LLC: Kyriakos Karatsis & Tania Piriilidou

76

Denmark
Poul Schmith: Henrik Nedergaard Thomsen & Kasper Mortensen

85

England & Wales
Covington & Burling LLP: Greg Lascelles & Alan Kenny

97

Estonia
Sorainen: Maria Pihlak & Carri Ginter

105

Finland
Borenus Attorneys Ltd: Kristiina Liljedahl & Vilma Haavisto

113

France
Laude Esquier Champey: Olivier Laude & Ana-Maria Constantinescu

122

Germany
Linklaters LLP: Dr. Christian Schmitt & Dr. Kerstin Wilhelm

129

Greece
V.D. Ikonomidis & Associates Law Firm: Vassilios Ikonomidis & Georgia Patili

136

Hungary
Sárhegyi & Partners Law Firm: Dr. András Lovas & Dr. Viktória Perényi

145

Indonesia
SANDIVA Legal Network: Allover Herling Mengko & Febry Arisandi

152

Iran
Sabeti & Khatami: Behnam Khatami & Masoomeh Salimi

161

Ireland
A&L Goodbody: Enda Hurley & Helen O'Connor

172

Italy
Portolano Cavallo: Micael Montinari, Filippo Frigerio & Laura Coriddi

180

Japan
Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu: Koki Yanagisawa & Hiroyuki Ebisawa

189

Liechtenstein
Wilhelm & Büchel Rechtsanwälte: Christoph Büchel

197

Luxembourg
Arendt & Medernach: Marianne Rau

205

Malaysia
Rahmat Lim & Partners: Jack Yow & Daphne Koo

212

Mexico
Müggenburg, Gorches y Peñalosa, S.C.: Juan Luis Blanco Montoya & Fernando Sánchez Tarasco

218

Netherlands
Florent: Yvette Borrius & Chris Jager

226

North Macedonia
Debarliev, Dameski & Kelesoska Attorneys at Law: Alen Nikochevik & Ivan Debarliev

235

Peru
Miranda & Amado Abogados: Juan Luis Avendaño Valdez, Mauricio Raffo La Rosa & Luis Alonso Navarro García

242

Philippines
SyCip Salazar Hernandez & Gatmaitan: Ramon G. Songco & Anthony W. Dee

250

Russia
Kovalev Tugushi & Partners: Sergey Kovalev, Sergey Kislov & Evgeny Lidzhiev

259

Singapore
Oon & Bazul LLP: Prakaash Silvam & Natalynn Ong

267

Slovakia
SCHWARZ advokáti s.r.o.: Andrej Schwarz & Simona Uhrinová

274

Spain
Monereo Meyer Abogados: Sonia Gumpert Melgosa & Michael Fries

281

Sweden
Delphi: Tobias Hamrin & Emil Andersson

288

Switzerland
Bär & Karrer Ltd.: Matthew Reiter & Dr. Alain Grieder

297	Turkey ESENyel & PARTNERS LAWYERS AND CONSULTANTS: Selcuk Esenyel	336	USA – Maryland Miller & Chevalier Chartered: Brian A. Hill
304	United Arab Emirates Hamdan AlShamsi Lawyers & Legal Consultants: Hamdan AlShamsi	343	USA – Pennsylvania Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP: Michael W. McTigue Jr. & Marie Bussey-Garza
311	Uganda Candia Advocates and Legal Consultants: Candia Emmanuel & Mindreru Hope Sarah	350	USA – Virginia Miller & Chevalier Chartered: Brian A. Hill
321	USA – Delaware Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP: Jonathan A. Choa & John A. Sensing	357	USA – Washington, D.C. Miller & Chevalier Chartered: Brian A. Hill
329	USA – Florida GrayRobinson, P.A.: Leora Freire & Leslie Arsenault Metz	364	Zambia Eric Silwamba, Jalasi and Linyama Legal Practitioners: Lubinda Linyama & Joseph Alexander Jalasi

Liechtenstein

Wilhelm & Büchel Rechtsanwälte



Christoph Büchel

I. LITIGATION

1 Preliminaries

1.1 What type of legal system has your jurisdiction got? Are there any rules that govern civil procedure in your jurisdiction?

Liechtenstein is a civil law jurisdiction. Therefore, the rules that govern civil procedure are contained in several acts, of which the following are the most important ones:

- Jurisdiction Act (“**JA**”, in German *Jurisdiktionsnorm*, “*JN*”), dealing with the jurisdiction of courts;
- Code of Civil Procedure (“**CCP**”, in German *Zivilprozessordnung*, “*ZPO*”), containing the rules for contentious court proceedings in civil matters; and
- Enforcement Proceedings Act (“**EPA**”, in German *Exekutionsordnung*, “*EO*”), providing for the enforcement of court judgments and orders and other formal titles (e.g. arbitration awards), and temporary (protective) injunctions.

Liechtenstein is neither a signatory of the Lugano Convention nor the Brussels Convention, both containing rules on jurisdiction and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters in an international context.

1.2 How is the civil court system in your jurisdiction structured? What are the various levels of appeal and are there any specialist courts?

There is only one court of first instance dealing with all civil (and criminal) matters, i.e. the Princely Court of Justice in Vaduz (“**CoJ**”, in German *Fürstliches Landgericht*). Please note, there are several non-official translations of (*Fürstliches*) *Landgericht* into English, e.g. Regional Court, Land Court, District Court, Lower Court, etc. (where “Princely” for all variations remains the translation for *Fürstlich*).

However, since there is only one such court and no regional division exists, we here use the translation Court of Justice because this is what the *Landgericht* surely is.

Decisions (judgments, orders) of the CoJ can be appealed to the Court of Appeals (“**CoA**”, in German *Fürstliches Obergericht*); the CoJ also translates as Higher Court, Superior Court, etc.

An appeal to the Supreme Court (in German *Fürstlicher Oberster Gerichtshof*) is only possible if certain criteria are fulfilled, e.g. the matter and the value of the matter at dispute, whether the CoJ and CoA have decided identically, etc.

There are no specialist courts.

1.3 What are the main stages in civil proceedings in your jurisdiction? What is their underlying timeframe (please include a brief description of any expedited trial procedures)?

Proceedings start with the statement of claim. The court serves the statement of claim to the defendant and orders the defendant to file a statement of defence; at the same time, the date of a first oral court hearing is communicated.

With the statement of claim, the claimant must argue the facts of his case, offer the relevant evidence and formulate his claim. Legal arguments are not mandatory.

At the first oral court hearing, the defendant must raise certain objections, e.g. with respect to the jurisdiction of the court, or apply for a security for costs (see below at question 1.6); furthermore, the court will address the parties regarding the question of possible settlements of the claim. Certain objections must be raised at the first court hearing (by the defendant). The first hearing also serves the purpose of structuring the litigation, addressing factual and legal issues and determines which evidence is admitted for which topic. A further oral hearing serves the taking of evidence, e.g. the testimonies of witnesses, experts, and of the parties.

There are no reliable statistics on the duration of first instance proceedings. The duration can vary between several months and several years, depending on the complexity of the case and the evidence to be taken.

Appeal proceedings normally are shorter. An oral hearing in appeal proceedings only takes place if a party applies for or the CoA orders such oral hearing. The taking of evidence by the CoA (new evidence or repetition of the evidence taken by the CoJ) is limited and not often the case.

There are no oral hearings before the Supreme Court. The proceedings before the Supreme Court normally take six months to one year.

It is to be noted that a party can appeal final decisions (judgments, orders) of the civil courts to the Constitutional Court for infringement of constitutional (procedural or substantive) rights, including the infringement of rights granted by the European Convention on Human Rights, the Agreement on the European Economic Area, or other international conventions granting judiciable and directly applicable fundamental (human) rights. Such appeals to the Constitutional Court prolong (original civil) proceedings by several months or even more than a year.

1.4 What is your jurisdiction's local judiciary's approach to exclusive jurisdiction clauses?

Exclusive jurisdiction clauses normally are accepted unless expressly prohibited by the law and if the parties have the competence to agree upon such exclusive jurisdiction.

1.5 What are the costs of civil court proceedings in your jurisdiction? Who bears these costs? Are there any rules on costs budgeting?

Costs are determined by statutory law, the Act on Court Fees (“*Gerichtsgebührengesetz*”). Court fees have to be paid by the claimant (or the appellant) in advance, with the amount of the fee depending on the value of the dispute. Additional costs such as for expert opinions, witnesses, etc., also will have to be paid in advance by order of the court.

The costs of representation by a counsel is also determined by statutory provisions on the tariff of attorneys (“*Rechtsanwaltstarifgesetz*”) and depend on the duration and complexity of the proceedings (number of hearings, briefs, other interventions of the counsel) and the interest (value) in dispute. The court will order which party has to bear the costs (court's and counsel's fees) and to what extent. As a general rule, the succumbing party is ordered to pay the costs to the degree it succumbed in the proceedings. There are no rules on costs budgeting.

1.6 Are there any particular rules about funding litigation in your jurisdiction? Are contingency fee/conditional fee arrangements permissible?

There are no particular rules about funding litigation. However, there is the prohibition of “*pactum de quota litis*”, meaning, e.g., that contingency agreement must not be based on a percentage of the value of the item in dispute and awarded by the court.

Legal aid is permissible for parties financially not being in a position to bear the costs of the proceedings. If the court grants legal aid, the respective party (temporarily, i.e. as long as its financial situation is not eased) is released from paying court fees and the costs of a counsel.

A defendant (or respondent in appeal proceedings) can request that the claimant be ordered to deposit a security for the costs of his defence if the claimant (appellant) has not proven that he possesses sufficient assets to cover the costs of the defendant in case the claimant succumbs in the proceedings. Such assets must be located in Liechtenstein or in a country with which Liechtenstein has entered into an agreement which ensures the recognition and enforcement of Liechtenstein court decisions. Such agreements, with limited scope, so far only exist with Switzerland and Austria. Consequently, assets located in other jurisdictions but Liechtenstein, Austria or Switzerland cannot be taken into account when assessing whether the defendant is sufficiently secured.

1.7 Are there any constraints to assigning a claim or cause of action in your jurisdiction? Is it permissible for a non-party to litigation proceedings to finance those proceedings?

There are only a few statutory constraints to assigning a claim or cause of action, e.g. when a claim is closely connected to a person (assignor). However, the parties (e.g. of a contract) can agree the prohibition of assignment of claims arising between them.

Financing of proceedings by a non-party is permissible; however, the prohibition of *pactum de quota litis* must be respected (see at question 1.6).

1.8 Can a party obtain security for/a guarantee over its legal costs?

Yes, see at question 1.6.

2 Before Commencing Proceedings

2.1 Is there any particular formality with which you must comply before you initiate proceedings?

No, there is no particular formality.

2.2 What limitation periods apply to different classes of claim for the bringing of proceedings before your civil courts? How are they calculated? Are time limits treated as a substantive or procedural law issue?

Limitation periods are a substantive law issue. For certain procedures, procedural limitation periods also exist, e.g. law of tenancy. However, the procedural limitation periods relate to procedural benefactions but not to the loss of claims under substantive law.

Substantive law provides for several limitation periods. The most common limitation period is three years (e.g. contractual or tort damages). The claim must be brought within three years from the aggrieved party having obtained sufficient knowledge of the damage incurred and the tortfeasor. However, the maximum period within which damage claims must be brought to the court is 30 years from the occurrence of the damage or tort.

3 Commencing Proceedings

3.1 How are civil proceedings commenced (issued and served) in your jurisdiction? What various means of service are there? What is the deemed date of service? How is service effected outside your jurisdiction? Is there a preferred method of service of foreign proceedings in your jurisdiction?

See at question 1.3. The claimant submits his statement of claim to the court, and the court serves the statement of claim to the defendant by registered letter with return receipt. The deemed date of service is the day on which the statement of defence is served to the defendant.

Service outside and from outside the jurisdiction is normally effected by mutual legal assistance of courts.

3.2 Are any pre-action interim remedies available in your jurisdiction? How do you apply for them? What are the main criteria for obtaining these?

Yes, with respect to the safeguarding of evidence. The claimant can apply to the court for such safeguarding even before filing his statement of claim.

Interim injunctions are available, e.g. to secure a particular situation, position, safeguard the loss of a (presumed) right, or to prevent irreversible damages, etc.

3.3 What are the main elements of the claimant's pleadings?

The facts of the case (claim), the supporting evidence, and the relief sought.

3.4 Can the pleadings be amended? If so, are there any restrictions?

Yes; however, the amendment either needs the consent of the defendant or, if the defendant does not approve the amendment, consent of the court is necessary. The court approves the amendment if no severe complications or delays of the proceedings are to be expected. However, additional submission of facts and evidence usually is permissible if the delay of the submission is not to be seen as gross negligence.

3.5 Can the pleadings be withdrawn? If so, at what stage and are there any consequences?

The claim can be withdrawn at any time and even in appeal proceedings, if the claimant also waives his right to the specific claim. Without such renunciation (or waiver), the claim can only be withdrawn before it has been served to the defendant; after such service, it can only be withdrawn with the consent of the defendant. In any case, the claimant has to bear all costs so far incurred, be it court fees or the defendant's counsel fees.

The waiving of the claim bars the claimant from bringing the same claim against the same party again.

4 Defending a Claim

4.1 What are the main elements of a statement of defence? Can the defendant bring a counterclaim(s) or defence of set-off?

The statement of defence contains the facts, together with the evidence and a request with respect to the claim, in general to dismiss the claim wholly or partially.

It can also contain a counterclaim or a defence of set-off.

4.2 What is the time limit within which the statement of defence has to be served?

It is the judge's prerogative to set a time limit within which the statement of defence has to be served – usually the time limit is four weeks. A written statement of defence is not mandatory; the defendant can orally plead at the first court hearing.

4.3 Is there a mechanism in your civil justice system whereby a defendant can pass on or share liability by bringing an action against a third party?

No, there is no such mechanism.

4.4 What happens if the defendant does not defend the claim?

If the defendant does not defend the claim, i.e. if he does not serve a statement of defence, if he does not appear in the (first)

court hearing or if he does not plead, the claimant can request the court to issue a default judgment.

4.5 Can the defendant dispute the court's jurisdiction?

Yes. The defendant must do so before he pleads the case. Under certain circumstances, the courts have to take the initiative if certain matters do not fall within their jurisdiction and dismiss (reject) the case and declare the proceedings null and void.

5 Joinder & Consolidation

5.1 Is there a mechanism in your civil justice system whereby a third party can be joined into ongoing proceedings in appropriate circumstances? If so, what are those circumstances?

Yes. In any state of the proceedings, a third party can be requested to participate or request to be admitted to the proceedings if the outcome of the proceedings affects the third party's rights and legal position.

5.2 Does your civil justice system allow for the consolidation of two sets of proceedings in appropriate circumstances? If so, what are those circumstances?

Yes, if: (a) the parties in the two (or more) proceedings are identical or at least the claimant or the defendant is the same in the two (or more) proceedings; and (b) if the consolidation simplifies the proceedings or saves time or costs.

5.3 Do you have split trials/bifurcation of proceedings?

Yes, this is available in Liechtenstein.

6 Duties & Powers of the Courts

6.1 Is there any particular case allocation system before the civil courts in your jurisdiction? How are cases allocated?

Cases are allocated according to the matter at issue to the judges responsible for the relevant matter. There are certain statutory rules regarding the allocation; however, it is mainly the courts' competence (e.g. Presidium of CoJ, the three presiding judges of the three Senates of the CoA) to issue detailed regulations on the allocation of cases.

6.2 Do the courts in your jurisdiction have any particular case management powers? What interim applications can the parties make? What are the cost consequences?

Yes. The judge has the power and responsibility to efficiently manage the proceedings, e.g. to impose time limits for certain procedural steps, dismiss unnecessary motions (e.g. motions intentionally delaying proceedings), etc.

Parties can make procedural motions (motion to stay proceedings) or apply for interim measures (e.g. preservation of evidence). Costs usually are allocated at the end of the proceedings, depending on the success of the individual motion or the outcome of the case as a whole.

6.3 What sanctions are the courts in your jurisdiction empowered to impose on a party that disobeys the court's orders or directions?

The court has powers to impose sanctions on a party if it disobeys an order of the court (max. fine of CHF 1,000.00) or intentionally acts untruthfully (max. fine CHF 5,000.00).

6.4 Do the courts in your jurisdiction have the power to strike out part of a statement of case or dismiss a case entirely? If so, at what stage and in what circumstances?

Not directly. However, the court may ignore parts of a statement of case it deems not relevant. Dismissal of a case can only be done by final judgment.

6.5 Can the civil courts in your jurisdiction enter summary judgment?

As regards default judgments, see above at question 4.4.

Proceedings with a value at dispute below CHF 5,000.00 are abridged and the judge can issue a judgment orally; a written judgment will be issued only upon request of a party. Appeals are possible only for limited reasons.

If the claim (only) requests payment, the court can issue a payment order. The defendant can object, which causes regular proceedings to take place. If the defendant does not object, the payment order becomes an enforceable title like a regular judgment.

6.6 Do the courts in your jurisdiction have any powers to discontinue or stay the proceedings? If so, in what circumstances?

Yes. The courts may stay proceedings *sua sponte* or upon a party's or both parties' motion or agreement. The reasons for a stay are manifold, e.g. if the parties enter into settlement negotiations, if the decision depends on the result of the outcome of other proceedings, pending or imminent. In any case, it lies within the court's discretion whether to stay proceedings or not.

7 Disclosure

7.1 What are the basic rules of disclosure in civil proceedings in your jurisdiction? Is it possible to obtain disclosure pre-action? Are there any classes of documents that do not require disclosure? Are there any special rules concerning the disclosure of electronic documents or acceptable practices for conducting e-disclosure, such as predictive coding?

There is no pre-action disclosure or special rules concerning the disclosure of electronic documents or predictive coding.

The court can request the production of documents which are in possession of a public (domestic) authority or of a notary – which a party wants to make use of but cannot receive – from such authority or notary.

Certain documents a party can request to be produced by the opposing party (by submission order of the trial court), e.g. if the opposing party relied on such documents but did not produce them, if the opposing party is obliged by civil law to produce the document, or if the document jointly belongs to the parties.

Submission of certain documents can be refused, e.g. if they concern matters of family life, if submission would infringe obligations of honour, if submission would put the party or a third person to shame or result in criminal prosecution, if submission would infringe a statutory secrecy obligation (e.g. attorney-client privilege), or for other reasons which justify the non-submission.

7.2 What are the rules on privilege in civil proceedings in your jurisdiction?

Regarding submission of privileged documents, see question 7.1 above.

Attorneys can refuse testifying on matters shared by their party or client. Other witnesses to which statutory secrecy obligations apply (e.g. physicians) may also refuse testimony.

Certain persons (e.g. if incapacitated) or those in certain professions, if they have infringed secrecy obligations (priests, public officers, mediators), must not be asked to testify.

7.3 What are the rules in your jurisdiction with respect to disclosure by third parties?

Third parties can be ordered by the trial court to submit documents if the third party is obliged by the law to produce the document to a party of the proceedings, or if the document jointly belongs to a party of the proceedings and the third party.

In all other cases, documents must be claimed from third parties by separate legal action.

7.4 What is the court's role in disclosure in civil proceedings in your jurisdiction?

The court can order disclosure by its own initiative or by motion of a party; however, there are only limited powers to order disclosure – see above at questions 7.1–7.3.

7.5 Are there any restrictions on the use of documents obtained by disclosure in your jurisdiction?

No. The parties are free to submit any sort of document. However, they are liable for infringement of protected secrets. Nevertheless, the court also may take into consideration such “poisoned” documents.

8 Evidence

8.1 What are the basic rules of evidence in your jurisdiction?

The parties submit (documentary) evidence or apply for the taking of evidence (e.g. testimony of witnesses, the party, expert opinions). It is the court's prerogative to decide which evidence is being accepted/taken. If the court holds certain evidence not relevant, it can disregard or reject it. Evidence must be submitted/applied for as early as possible in the proceedings; otherwise, if considerable delays are to be expected once the evidence is accepted and the party offered the evidence (too) late through gross fault, the court can refuse such evidence. All evidence must be taken directly in the proceedings before the trial court (or the court requested by letter rogatory). The court is free in its consideration of evidence, unless there are statutory rules on the validity and conclusiveness of evidence.

8.2 What types of evidence are admissible, and which ones are not? What about expert evidence in particular?

Documentary, (oral) witness and party testimony, expert opinions and judicial inspection are the most common, admissible types of evidence.

Expert evidence (expert opinion) is ordered by the court, on the court's own initiative or upon application by a party. Experts are "officers of the court"; they must be impartial and are chosen by the court (but they can be rejected by a party for reasons of partiality).

The expert is usually ordered to render his opinion in written form, but also can be summoned to a hearing to give oral evidence only. However, after submission of the written opinion, upon the order of the court, initiated by the court alone or by application of a party, the expert will be summoned for an oral hearing and will be questioned by the court and the parties. The court is not bound by an expert opinion. The court also can order additional expert opinions if an expert opinion is insufficient.

Expert opinions submitted by a party are not considered to be expert opinions, but only documentary evidence.

8.3 Are there any particular rules regarding the calling of witnesses of fact, and the making of witness statements or depositions?

Witness statements are only accepted if given orally before the trial court. Written witness statements are not admitted. There are no depositions.

Witnesses are under a duty and can be forced (by sanctions ordered by the court) to appear and to give their witness statement before the court.

The witnesses are first questioned by the court, then by the parties. The court, *sua sponte* or by application of a party, can order the witness to testify under oath.

8.4 Are there any particular rules regarding instructing expert witnesses, preparing expert reports and giving expert evidence in court? Are there any particular rules regarding concurrent expert evidence? Does the expert owe his/her duties to the client or to the court?

The court instructs the expert, puts together the matter (questions) on which the expert shall give his (written or oral) opinion, and the court also provides the expert with all necessary information and documents and informs him with whom he can get in contact for further information, etc.

There are no particular rules on concurrent expert evidence, and such evidence is not foreseen in the CCP.

The expert owes his duties to the court.

See also above at question 8.2.

9 Judgments & Orders

9.1 What different types of judgments and orders are the civil courts in your jurisdiction empowered to issue and in what circumstances?

Judgments ("*Urteile*") are decisions of the court on the merits. The court can issue partial judgments (on parts of a claim) and interim judgments (on certain issues, e.g. declaration on liability in general, but not on the damage or indemnification yet).

Court decisions on procedural issues (e.g. stay of proceedings, admission of evidence, choice and instruction of experts, etc.) are orders ("*Beschlüsse*").

9.2 What powers do your local courts have to make rulings on damages/interests/costs of the litigation?

They have full powers; however, the maximum they can award is what has been claimed. Interests and costs are regulated by the law and must be claimed too. Whereas interests are part of the judgment on the merits (and based on substantive law), awards on costs – although also included in the judgment – can be appealed separately like an order on procedural issues.

9.3 How can a domestic/foreign judgment be recognised and enforced?

Domestic judgments are automatically recognised as enforceable titles and can be executed upon application to and order of the court. The proceedings, including the titles recognised and enforceable, are regulated by the EPA.

Foreign judgments and other instruments are not directly recognised and enforceable domestically. However, there are simplified proceedings ("*Rechtsöffnung*") granting recognition and enforcement to such foreign instruments. The respondent in such proceedings may only prevent recognition and enforcement if he brings an action for denial against the recognition of such foreign instrument recognised by simplified proceedings.

Liechtenstein is neither a party to the Brussels nor the Lugano Convention on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters. It has only entered into such agreements on recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil matters with Austria and Switzerland.

However, Liechtenstein is a signatory of the New York Convention, i.e. the Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards. Therefore, arbitral awards issued according to the rules of the New York Convention are recognised and enforced in Liechtenstein like domestic judgments.

9.4 What are the rules of appeal against a judgment of a civil court of your jurisdiction?

See above at question 1.2.

Civil court judgments can be appealed to the Court of Appeals within four weeks upon service ("*Berufung*"), and appeal judgments can be appealed to the Supreme Court within four weeks ("*Revision*"). There are limitations to the submission of new claims, evidence and defence in the appeal proceedings; however, they are not generally disallowed or disregarded. The Supreme Court, being a court of law only, does not accept new evidence, etc.

Orders of the CFI and the CoA can also be challenged by so-called "*Rekurs*" and "*Revisionsrekurs*", respectively. Both remedies have to be filed within two weeks from service of the lower order.

The CoA and the Supreme Court may set aside or approve judgments or orders, alter or amend them, or refer the case back to the lower courts.

Further instruments are the action for annulment and the action for reconsideration of a case.

10 Settlement

10.1 Are there any formal mechanisms in your jurisdiction by which parties are encouraged to settle claims or which facilitate the settlement process?

The plaintiff does not have to first apply for settlement or mediation proceedings but can directly bring his claim to the court.

The CCP stipulates that the first court hearing should be used to encourage a settlement between the parties. (For certain matters (especially family law), the court may order a mediation.)

A party also can apply to the court for a settlement hearing. The court will summon the defendant; however, non-appearance of the defendant has no consequences whatsoever.

II. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

1 General

1.1 What methods of alternative dispute resolution are available and frequently used in your jurisdiction? Arbitration/Mediation/Expert Determination/Tribunals (or other specialist courts)/Ombudsman? (Please provide a brief overview of each available method.)

In certain areas of the law, an ombudsman or conciliation board can be addressed before bringing a claim to the court. Mediation is not compulsory; however, in family cases the court can order a mediation after a claim or motion has been filed.

Certain professions or industries provide for arbitration or similar ADR (conciliation boards, professional bodies, with or without the involvement of authorities), e.g. the profession of trustees, attorneys, in the building and construction industry, telecommunications, and financial services. With respect to disputes between undertakings or consumers and undertakings, the Act on Alternative Dispute Resolution applies, referring to specific conciliation authorities competent in the respective field (telecommunications, electricity and gas, financial services, consumer complaints).

Some conciliation boards, mostly in the field of public law, are authorised to issue enforceable awards like courts.

Liechtenstein has implemented the relevant EU legislation with the alternative dispute resolution act for consumer disputes.

Arbitration is the main alternative to court proceedings, being actively promoted by liberal legislation and private associations and professionals.

1.2 What are the laws or rules governing the different methods of alternative dispute resolution?

Arbitration proceedings are regulated in the CCP (sections 594–635). Whenever possible, the provisions of the CCP are not mandatory and leave room for private agreement.

Mediation is regulated in a separate law, the Civil Law Mediation Act (“*Zivilrechts-Mediations-Gesetz*”). Mediators operating under this act must be licensed by the Government.

Various acts in specific sectors contain ADR rules, mainly in the domain of public law.

1.3 Are there any areas of law in your jurisdiction that cannot use Arbitration/Mediation/Expert Determination/Tribunals/Ombudsman as a means of alternative dispute resolution?

Mediation is open to any sort of dispute; however, the result (e.g. agreements between the parties) is not directly enforceable by the court, but only by simplified court proceedings (*Rechtsöffnung*, see above at question 9.3).

Arbitration is open for all pecuniary claims, including, e.g., claims of information and disclosure, except for claims relating to family law or apprenticeships.

The competence of the CoJ for proceedings which the CoJ can initiate *ex officio* based on compelling law (*ius cogens*), or upon application by or the notice of the Foundation Supervisory Authority or the prosecutor, cannot validly be excluded by an arbitration clause or agreement.

Arbitration of disputes between consumers and undertakings (“traders”) and of labour law disputes can usually only be agreed after the dispute has arisen.

Arbitration can validly be provided for in articles of association or corporation, foundation articles, and trust deeds, and are compelling on all existing and future participants (associates, board members, shareholders, beneficiaries, etc.).

1.4 Can local courts provide any assistance to parties that wish to invoke the available methods of alternative dispute resolution? For example, will a court – pre or post the constitution of an arbitral tribunal – issue interim or provisional measures of protection (i.e. holding orders pending the final outcome) in support of arbitration proceedings, force parties to arbitrate when they have so agreed, or order parties to mediate or seek expert determination? Is there anything that is particular to your jurisdiction in this context?

With respect to arbitration proceedings, the court may only become involved or intervene if expressly provided for in the CCP. The court may issue interim measures, assist in the appointment of arbiters, review challenges of arbiters, decide on the early termination of the mandate of an arbiter, enforce interim and protective measures and awards, assist with judicial acts when the arbitral tribunal has no powers (e.g. summons of witnesses, letters rogatory), set aside awards including interim awards, and approve the existence or inexistence of arbitration awards.

1.5 How binding are the available methods of alternative dispute resolution in nature? For example, are there any rights of appeal from arbitration awards and expert determination decisions, are there any sanctions for refusing to mediate, and do settlement agreements reached at mediation need to be sanctioned by the court? Is there anything that is particular to your jurisdiction in this context?

In some special fields, by express provision of the law, decisions or awards of conciliation bodies are binding and enforceable by the court.

Arbitration awards under the provisions of the CCP and/or the NYC are usually binding but, if Liechtenstein courts are competent (e.g. Liechtenstein was the place of the arbitration), such awards can be challenged before the CoA within four weeks from service with an application to set aside.

There are no sanctions for refusing to mediate. The Liechtenstein Constitution guarantees access to the courts.

Regarding mediation, see at question 1.2 above. Even when mediation was initiated or ordered by the (family) court, the settlement agreement reached by the parties of the mediation must be sanctioned by the court.

The liberal and supportive approach *vis-à-vis* arbitration is particular to Liechtenstein. The scope of issues which are arbitrable is very broad, the reasons for actions to set aside of arbitration awards are limited, actions must be brought within a short period after service of the award and the court decision cannot be appealed.

Furthermore, the Liechtenstein rules on arbitration reiterate Liechtenstein's arbitration-friendly approach and provide for cost- and time-efficient arbitration proceedings and special provisions on the safeguarding of confidentiality. See also below at question 2.1.

2 Alternative Dispute Resolution Institutions

2.1 What are the major alternative dispute resolution institutions in your jurisdiction?

The Liechtenstein Arbitration Association ("LIS" for *Liechtensteinischer Schiedsverein*), in cooperation with the Liechtenstein Chamber of Commerce and Industry, initiated the enactment of the Liechtenstein Rules of Arbitration ("**Liechtenstein Rules**"). Their goal is to establish opportunities for national and international arbitration in Liechtenstein. Market participants should be given the possibility to call upon fair arbitration procedures of a high quality. The Liechtenstein Chamber of Commerce and Industry offers the usual supportive secretarial services of arbitration organisations.



Christoph Büchel has been a partner of Wilhelm & Büchel Rechtsanwälte since 2006.

From 2001 to 2006, Christoph worked in an internationally oriented law firm in Liechtenstein. Between 1995 and 2001, he worked in public administration, from 1998 as the Director of the EEA Coordination Unit of the Liechtenstein Government.

Christoph completed his studies of law in 1993 at the University of St Gallen (HSG) and then worked as a scientific assistant at the HSG Institute of International Business Law and Comparative Law. Christoph took the degree of Master of European Law (LL.M.) at the Collège d'Europe in Bruges (Belgium). He completed the company law study programme at the University of Liechtenstein. Furthermore, he held lectures at colleges in Liechtenstein and Switzerland, especially in the field of EEA-law. Since 2005, Christoph has been a substitute judge at the Administrative Court of the Principality of Liechtenstein.

Wilhelm & Büchel Rechtsanwälte

Lova-Center, P.O. Box 1150

LI - 9490 Vaduz

Liechtenstein

Tel: +423 399 48 50

Email: cbuechel@wbr.li

URL: www.wbr.li

When it comes to legal questions, you are entitled to first-class advice from qualified experts. We advise private individuals as well as companies in Liechtenstein and abroad in all fields of Liechtenstein and European law.

Highly specialised expertise, commitment and reliability are the foundations of our philosophy and activities, day-in, day-out.

Every case is unique. We strive to identify the optimum solution to your concerns.

www.wbr.li

WB
RECHTSANWÄLTE
Wilhelm & Büchel

ICLG.com

Other titles in the ICLG series

Alternative Investment Funds
Anti-Money Laundering
Aviation Finance & Leasing
Aviation Law
Business Crime
Cartels & Leniency
Class & Group Actions
Competition Litigation
Construction & Engineering Law
Consumer Protection
Copyright
Corporate Governance
Corporate Immigration
Corporate Investigations
Corporate Recovery & Insolvency
Corporate Tax
Cybersecurity
Data Protection
Derivatives

Digital Business
Digital Health
Drug & Medical Device Litigation
Employment & Labour Law
Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
Environment & Climate Change Law
Family Law
Financial Services Disputes
Fintech
Foreign Direct Investment Regimes
Franchise
Gambling
Insurance & Reinsurance
International Arbitration
Investor-State Arbitration
Lending & Secured Finance
Merger Control
Mergers & Acquisitions
Mining Law

Oil & Gas Regulation
Outsourcing
Patents
Pharmaceutical Advertising
Private Client
Private Equity
Product Liability
Project Finance
Public Investment Funds
Public Procurement
Real Estate
Sanctions
Securitisation
Shipping Law
Telecoms, Media & Internet
Trade Marks
Vertical Agreements and Dominant Firms